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Abstract: A case series was conducted to evaluate the clinical experience with a new hydroconductive wound dressing, that appears to help facilitate the removal of necrotic tissue and other deterrents to wound healing while preserving healthy granulation tissue in a wound. Eight patients were treated with the dressing which has a proprietary technology that draws fluid away from the wound. The dressing was used on a variety of wound types and all wounds went on to heal by secondary intention. There were no adverse effects noted by any patient in the case series. Larger patient studies are needed to corroborate the positive clinical results that were observed in this series of patients.

Although writings pertaining to wound care date back several millennia, the development of advanced wound dressings is a relatively recent phenomenon.

One purpose of a dressing is to provide an environment favorable for wound healing. A dressing may provide moisture to a dry wound or remove fluid from a highly exudative wound. Dressings may also actively help debride wounds.

A hydroconductive dressing (Drawtex®, SteadMed Medical, Fort Worth, TX) draws fluid away from the wound using capillary action while retaining its integrity.1 The dressing appears to debride wounds, helping remove adherent slough and debris, and independent digital wound bed analysis observed preservation of healthy granulation tissue.2 It has been observed to also absorb excessive wound exudate containing bacteria and deleterious cytokines, leaving a more favorable wound healing environment.3,4 The purpose of this case series was to report healing and debridement outcomes of patients whose wounds were managed with this dressing.

Methods

A hydroconductive dressing was used in a case series of 8 patients with wounds that had adherent slough and necrotic tissue, and varying levels of exudate, from minimal to highly exudating. The 8 patients (3 males, 5 females) ranged in age from 49 years to 97 years. They were treated with a hydroconductive dressing as the primary wound dressing for 3 consecutive weeks (Table 1). The dressing was cut to ensure it was in contact with the base of the wound. Two of the patients were immunosuppressed, one to treat rheumatoid arthritis, and another due to kidney transplant. No other method of debride-
ment was used in the study, except in patient 8, whose wound was gently wiped with sterile gauze at dressing changes. Compression was used where indicated. In highly exudating wounds, the dressing was frequently used by stacking more than 1 layer. In dry wounds, various ointments were used in conjunction with the dressing to help keep the wound moist (Table 1). Dressings were typically changed daily, but in some situations, such as with venous leg ulcers, it was left in place for up to 1 week under compression. During the 3-week period in which the patients were studied, no other form of debridement was used, except in 1 case, where the wound was minimally debrided with sterile gauze. Digital photos were taken once a week for 3 weeks and submitted for independent wound bed analysis by an individual at Imago Care Ltd in England, who was blinded to the study, to document changes in

Table 1. Patient demographic information.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Patient</th>
<th>Age (yrs.)</th>
<th>Gender</th>
<th>Wound Type</th>
<th>Adjuvant Treatment</th>
<th>Immunosuppressed</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>76</td>
<td>Female</td>
<td>Traumatic skin tear</td>
<td>Mupirocin/tubigrip</td>
<td>Yes (rheumatoid arthritis)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>85</td>
<td>Female</td>
<td>Traumatic foot</td>
<td>Silver sulfadiazine</td>
<td>No</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>97</td>
<td>Female</td>
<td>Traumatic skin tear</td>
<td>Neosporin</td>
<td>No</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>67</td>
<td>Male</td>
<td>Traumatic leg</td>
<td>Collagenase</td>
<td>Yes (kidney transplant)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>81</td>
<td>Female</td>
<td>Traumatic skin tear</td>
<td>None</td>
<td>No</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6</td>
<td>49</td>
<td>Male</td>
<td>Traumatic laceration</td>
<td>Silver sulfadiazine</td>
<td>No</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7</td>
<td>81</td>
<td>Female</td>
<td>Traumatic skin tear</td>
<td>Silver sulfadiazine</td>
<td>No</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8</td>
<td>65</td>
<td>Male</td>
<td>Venous leg ulcer</td>
<td>Compression (Profore)</td>
<td>No</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Case Study 1

Figure 1. Highly exudating venous leg ulcer, 1/3/11, week 0.
Figure 2. Hydroconductive dressing in place.
Figure 3. Healing wound, 1/17/11, hydroconductive dressing week 2.
Figure 4. Healing venous leg ulcer, 2/1/11, hydroconductive dressing week 4.
Figure 5. Healed wound, 2/14/11, hydroconductive dressing week 6.
Case Study 2

Figure 1. Initial photo after drainage of a traumatic hematoma of the left leg, 1/14/11.

Figure 2. Healing wound, 1/31/11, week 0.

Figure 2a. Digital wound analysis, 1/31/11, week 0.*

Figure 3. Wound progression, 2/7/11, hydroconductive dressing week 1.

Figure 3a. Digital wound analysis, 2/7/11, hydroconductive dressing week 1.*

Figure 4. Healing wound, 2/21/11, hydroconductive dressing, week 3.

Figure 4a. Digital wound analysis, 2/21/11.*

Figure 5. Healing wound, 3/7/11, hydroconductive dressing week 5.

Figure 6. Healing wound, 3/21/11, hydroconductive dressing week 7.

Figure 7. Healed wound, 4/18/11.

* Black represents necrotic tissue; yellow represents fibrin and slough; red represents granulation tissue.
Since clinician observation is a subjective evaluation, an advanced pattern recognition software algorithm that uses artificial intelligence to analyze digital wound images and provide accurate wound measurements and tissue analysis was used (iCLR technology®, powered by Elixr, Imago Care Ltd, England). This technology calculates wound measurements including area, circumference, width, and height. It also divides a wound into 3 tissue-type classifications in the digitized wound photograph: necrotic tissue, represented as a black color; fibrin and slough, represented as a yellow color; and granulation tissue, represented as a red color. This algorithm has been demonstrated to be objective, reliable, reproducible, qualitative, and quantitative.5

Results
Eight patients were treated with a hydroconductive dressing as the primary dressing for 3 consecutive weeks. No complications were observed over the course of the trial and all patients tolerated use of the dressing. At the completion of the trial, use of the dressing was continued and all of the wounds went on to complete healing.

Independent digital wound analysis demonstrated preservation of healthy tissue while documenting reduction of adherent fibrin, slough, and necrotic tissue. The average area of necrotic tissue and slough of all the patients was reduced 36% by week 1, 52% by week 2, and 77% by week 3 (Figure 12). There was also a corresponding reduction of wound area: 15% by week 1, 35% by week 2, and 47% by week 3 (Figure 13).

Discussion
A tenet of modern wound care is that the principal purpose of a dressing is to provide an environment that will enhance wound healing while also protecting the wound from outside elements.6 An ideal dressing should maintain a moist wound environment while removing excess exudate and toxins. It should be comfortable for the patient, act as a barrier to prevent a secondary infection, and maintain its integrity when moist.7,8

Recently a variety of specialized wound dressings have been developed that can be grouped into categories according to their function and mechanism of action. A detailed listing of dressings, their uses, indications, advantages, and disadvantages has been published.6,9 The preferred dressing for a specific clinical situation can be determined after an assessment of the wound characteristics and the goals of treatment.

Wounds can be divided into groups by their etiology, amount and nature of exudate, degree of contamination and infection, and size and depth of injury, to name a few. It is important to recognize that the characteristics of the wound and medical status of the patient changes over time. This may require modification of the dressing as the wound passes through different phases of wound healing.6 Proposed protocols matching wound types with appropriate dressings have been published.10

Bates-Jensen and Ovington have proposed a rating system to quantify the amount of fluid in a wound bed.11 In dry wounds, a moist wound healing environment can be provided by a variety of dressings including hydrocolloids,
Case Study 3

Figure 1. Traumatic skin tear right lower leg, 12/6/10.

Figure 2. Digital analysis, 12/6/10, week 0.*

Figure 3. Hydroconductive dressing in place.

Figure 4. Wound progression, 12/20/10 week 2.

Figure 4a. Digital analysis, 12/20/10.*

Figure 5. Wound healing, 12/27/10, hydroconductive dressing week 3.

Figure 5a. Digital analysis, 12/27/10.*

Figure 6. Wound healing, 1/20/11, hydroconductive dressing week 6.

Figure 7. Wound healing, 3/14/11, hydroconductive dressing week 15.

Figure 8. Wound healed, 4/6/11.

* Black represents necrotic tissue; yellow represents fibrin and slough; red represents granulation tissue.
alginites, hydrogels, and hydropolymer foams. At the other extreme, control of fluid in a highly exudative wound can be a significant challenge but is important to control so as to promote wound healing and to prevent maceration of the periwound tissues. Depending on the exudate levels the appropriate dressing may have occlusive, semiocclusive, absorptive, hydrating, autolytic debriding, or hemorrhagic characteristics. The moisture control can be passive, where the fluid is simply absorbed into the primary dressing, or active, where the fluid is actively transported away from the wound and bound into the dressing.

Wound exudate consists of water containing electrolytes, nutrients, white blood cells, inflammatory mediators, protein digestive enzymes, and growth factors. An analysis of wound fluid shows differences in composition when comparing the fluid from acute and chronic wounds. Chronic wound fluid shows an increase in levels of matrix metalloproteinases (MMPs), and serine proteinases, which may be destructive in nature to chronic wounds. These enzymes degrade proteins including collagen and growth factors. In chronic wounds, this results in a vicious cycle of tissue breakdown and chronic inflammation.

One of the goals of an advanced dressing may be to restore the normal balance of growth factors, cytokines, and proteolytic mediators in a wound bed to create a more favorable environment for wound healing. For example, specialized dressings may remove the MMPs from the wound by binding and sequestering them in the dressing or the MMPs may be actively drawn away from the wound into a highly absorbent dressing. To be effective, advanced wound dressings, like other advanced wound modalities, must be combined with clinically accepted basic wound care.

The authors used a hydroconductive, nonadherent wound dressing (Drawtex) with a technology that actively draws fluid and debris into the wound, trapping it in the dressing. It can absorb up to 150 cc/h of fluid. If multiple layers of this dressing are used, the fluid is wicked to the outermost layer of the dressing.

The fluid is drawn both laterally from the wound and vertically to dressings stacked on top.

In this series of 8 patients, “hydroconductive debridement” performed by this dressing selectively removed undesirable tissue leaving intact healthy tissue as demonstrated in serial digital photo analysis. The healthy granulation tissue was preserved over time and actually increased in volume while the necrotic tissue and slough was selectively debrided decreasing its volume in the wound analysis. Mechanical debridement (wiping the wound with a piece of sterile gauze), was used in 1 patient (patient # 8) and worked in a complementary nature with the hydroconductive debridement properties of the dressing.

Hydroconductive debridement in this case series dem-
demonstrated an average reduction in the wound bed area by 44% over 3 weeks of dressing application. The series also demonstrated an average reduction in necrotic tissue of 77% over 3 weeks. This compares to a study by Pullen et al where collagenase (an enzymatic debrider), showed only 46.7% of patients had removal of 50% or more of the nonviable tissue in the wounds over a maximum of 4 weeks.

This dressing is highly absorbent and may act to detoxify the wound by removing adherent slough, necrotic tissue, bacteria and exudate. The exudate removed may contain factors such as proteases and other factors or toxins that may inhibit normal wound healing. MMP-9 has been demonstrated to be drawn into the dressing and is actively transported up to 7 cm away from the wound edge. The effect of decreasing the proteases in a wound may depend on the balance that is left. It has been demonstrated that poor healing of diabetic foot ulcers may be seen when the levels of MMP-8 and MMP-9 have not decreased with treatment. However, drawing desirable autolytic enzymes away from the wound may be deleterious to wound healing.

The fluid removed may also contain the plasma necessary to act as the nutritional substrate to maintain the viability of a biofilm. Rapid removal of this exudate may then diminish the biofilm’s pathogenic activity in the wound, leading to improved wound healing.

Larger numbers of patients are needed to confirm the results of this study and help to further identify the complete role of this hydroconductive dressing in wound care.

**Conclusions**

A new category of hydroconductive dressing was used as the primary wound care for a case series of 8 patients with a variety of wound types. This dressing proved to be comfortable and easy for patients to use. Its use resulted in debridement of necrotic tissue and slough from the wound bed. Using an artificially intelligent pattern recognition algorithm software program, areas in the wounds containing healthy granulation tissue were observed to be preserved over time and expanded into areas that were debrided of fibrin, slough, and harmful cytokines. It protected the wound from outside contamination and detoxified the

Figure 12. Percentage Reduction in Combined Slough and Eschar.

Figure 13. Reduction in Wound Area Percentage.

Figure 12. Percentage Reduction in Combined Slough and Eschar.

Figure 13. Reduction in Wound Area Percentage.
wound by decreasing levels of toxins, proteases, and the nutrients necessary to maintain a biofilm.

All wounds in this series healed without any adverse events. More experience is needed to confirm the authors’ findings and further define this dressing’s role in advanced wound care. Once the role is clearly defined, a randomized clinical trial comparing this hydroconductive dressing to autolytic debridement and 1 or more other advanced wound dressings may be useful.
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